SECRET

12 June 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR : CS Historical Board

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT : Compartmentation of HS/CSG

REFERENCE : My Memo Dated 10 June, Same Subject

1. This memorandum will try to clarify the rather "black and white" impression of paragraph 7 of referent memo, in order that members of the Board will be better armed to discuss this and make a decision. (One Board member has already called me about this.)

2. My solution in paragraph 7 is fairly drastic, and, perhaps, unfeasible to legislate. It was, however, based on the fact that no other directorate has prepared a collection of documents or cards to put into HS/HC, and, as far as I am aware, has not given its consent to either do this, or once done, to place it under the control of a DDP officer, namely,

If neither of these things happen, we are left almost entirely with what we had before, a DDP collection in HS/CSG under

This was the rationale behind my "solution" in paragraph 7.

directorates agree to the idea and have material to contribute to HS/HC) would be to have in charge of four (one for each directorate) separate corrections of index cards and documents. In and my opinion, it would be perfectly workable to have a separate safe for each directorate, and to have him administer these four collections which could be physically located in his office. The key to the success of this from our point of view is that it will always be administered by a DDP officer. Otherwise, a separate DDP collection, e.g., HS/CSG, would be imperative.

4. Another factor in this question is location of the cards and documents. It seems to me that were I from another directorate located in Langley that I would be much more

SECRET

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgracing and doclassification 25X1

25X1

SECRET

inclined to consult documents and cards that were physically located in Langley rather than in Rosslyn. We find it very time-consuming, and hence tend to put off a number of jobs that require going to Langley simply because of the time factor. We also know that many others in Langley do not come to our office for the same reason. Hence, it makes more sense to me for other directorates to establish their collections where they are located rather than in Key Building, but this is not my problem; my problem is to maintain security for DDP material.

5. Thus, as I have stated in paragraph 3, there is a perfectly satisfactory alternative to complete separation of HS/CSG if it is agreed to that a DDP officer will always be 25×1 in charge. What makes me worry about this is that Chief, Historical Staff said at a meeting I was at the other day that he felt it would be perfectly all right to replace with a DDI officer. I advised him that this would not be likely to have the concurrence of CSHB or the DDP, but I can't say whether this point swayed him.

Executive Secretary

25X1

Executive Secretary CS Historical Board

2

Approved For Release 2006/11/05 : CIA-RDP83-00764R000300110026-9 INT LL USE ONLY UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDE SECRET ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET SUBJECT: (Optional) FROM: EXTENSION 203 Key Bldg. DATE Executive Secretary **2**5X1 CS Historical Board 12 June 1969 TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE OFFICER'S COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) FORWARDED RECEIVED 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 610 USE PREVIOUS X SECRET

25X1

25X1

FORM

3-62

Approved For Release 2006/11/05 : CIA-RDP83-00764R000300110026-9

UNCLASSIFIED